## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

JAMES FRANKLIN RICHBURG,	) C/A No. 8:10-981 DCN
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	ORDER
ADMINISTRATOR KEN WILLIAMS; DIRECTOR W.T. JOHNSON; and KITCHEN SUPERVISOR ROBIN CROSS,	) ) )
Defendants.	) ) )

The above referenced case is before this court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment be granted.

This court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. Thomas v Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v.</u>
Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No objections

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the consequences of failure to object to a magistrate judge's

8:10-cv-00981-DCN Date Filed 04/29/11 Entry Number 45 Page 2 of 2

## have been filed to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

A <u>de novo</u> review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is **AFFIRMED**, and defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

David C. Norton

Chief United States District Judge

Charleston, South Carolina April 29, 2011

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The notice must be 'sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required." <u>Id.</u> at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the <u>consequences</u> at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report.